



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/778,860	02/08/2001	Mitsuru Iwasaki	040679-1209	6172

22428 7590 07/11/2003

FOLEY AND LARDNER
SUITE 500
3000 K STREET NW
WASHINGTON, DC 20007

EXAMINER

ATKINSON, CHRISTOPHER MARK

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3743

DATE MAILED: 07/11/2003

16

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/778,860

Applicant(s)

Iwasaki et al.

Examiner

Atkinson

Art Unit

3743



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Atkinson

(3) _____

(2) Frederic Tenney

(4) _____

Date of Interview Jul 9, 2003

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: one and thirteen

Identification of prior art discussed:

Sugimoto et al. and Nishishita et al.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Discussed adding language directed toward the third louver being substantially across the width of the flat connection part and the third louver not being on the edge of the said part. This will overcome the outstanding rejection. Also, discussed claiming the plurality of radiation portions being act (downstream) of the heat prevention louver and said portions being offset or misaligned in different rows. This also overcomes the outstanding prior art rejection.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

CHRISTOPHER ATKINSON
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.